

Supplementary information for: Psychedelics and psychological strengths

Trey Brasher · David Rosen · Marcello Spinella

Supplement 1. *Demographic characteristics of the samples of each of the three studies.*

S1.1 Demographics

	Study 1		Study 2		Study 3	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
Completed Survey	516	100	1703	100	1214	100
Dropped - Failure to Meet Validity Procedures	51	11.8	153	9	72	5.9
Participants	465	88.2	1550	91	1142	94.1
Participants who Provided Meditation Hours Data					1008	83
Sex						
Male	131	28.2	458	29.5	825	72.20%
Female	334	71.8	1087	70.1	307	26.90%
TINB			5	0.3	10	0.90%
Total	465	100	1550	100	1142	100.00%
Age						
L	H	L	H	L	H	
18	75	18	84	18	89	
M	SD	M	SD	M	SD	
28.5	12.8	27.1	12.2	33.2	15.5	



S1.1 Demographics (Cont.)

		Study 1		Study 2		Study 3	
		Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
Ethnic Origin	European/ Caucasian			1046	67.50%	816	71.50%
	Hispanic			159	10.30%	117	10.20%
	Other			93	6.00%	72	6.30%
	Asian			77	5.00%	31	2.70%
	Mixed			71	4.60%	45	3.90%
	African American			88	5.70%	50	4.40%
	Middle Eastern/North African			16	1.00%	11	1.00%
Total				1550	100.00%	1142	100%
Education (in Years)	L	H	L	H	L	H	
	9	21	8	26	6	28	
	M	SD	M	SD	M	SD	
		15.2	1.7	14.8	1.9	15.3	2.4
Personal Annual Income (USD)	L	H	L	H	L	H	
			0	500,000	0	330000	
	M	SD	M	SD	M	SD	
				26,770	42,840	31437	41539

S1.1 Demographics (Cont.)

		Study 1		Study 2			Study 3		
		Number	%	Number	%	Mean Income	Number	%	Mean Income
Work Status	Not Working	N/A	N/A	440	28.40%	\$4,102	428	37.50%	\$6,035
	Part-Time	N/A	N/A	565	36.50%	\$13,499	254	22.20%	\$16,540
	Full Time	N/A	N/A	545	35.20%	\$58,623	460	40.30%	\$63,103
	Total			1550	100		1142	100.00%	
Student Status	Not a Student	N/A	N/A	572	36.90%		603	52.80%	
	Part-Time	N/A	N/A	89	5.70%		52	4.60%	
	Full Time	N/A	N/A	889	57.40%		487	42.60%	
	Total			1550	100.00%		1142	100.00%	
Religious Affiliation	Christianity	318	68.40%	865	55.80%		559	48.90%	
	Spiritual	55	11.80%	267	17.20%		246	21.50%	
	Atheist/Agnostic	60	12.90%	177	11.40%		158	13.80%	
	Other	18	3.90%	146	9.40%		106	9.30%	
	Buddhism	5	1.10%	15	1.00%		34	3.00%	
	Judaism	6	1.30%	39	2.50%		22	1.90%	
	Islam	3	0.60%	23	1.50%		12	1.10%	
	Hinduism	0	0	18	1.20%		5	0.40%	
	Total	465	100.00%	1550	100.00%		1142	100.00%	

S1.1 Demographics (Cont.)

		Study 1		Study 2		Study 3			
				Number	%	Number		%	
Meditation (Lifetime Hours)		L	H	L	H	Seated Meditation		Moving Meditation (yoga, walking meditation, tai chi, etc)	
		0	24000			L	H	L	H
		M	SD	M	SD	0	20000	0	50000
		321.3	1751			M	SD	M	SD
					206	1346.5	303.8	1972.8	
New Jersey Residency	NJ resident			1386	89.40%	701	61.40%		
	Out of state			164	10.60%	441	38.60%		
	Total			1550	100%	1142	100%		
United States Residency	US Resident					935	81.90%		
	Non-US Resident					207	18.10%		
	Total					1142	100%		
Alcoholic Beverages Consumed in a Typical Week				L	H	L	H		
				0	63	0	63		
				M	SD	M	SD		
				3.48	5.4	3.48	5.3		

S1.2 Psychoactive drug use (non-medical)

	Study 1					Study 2					Study 3		
	Never	Once or Twice	Multiple Times	Ever	Lifetime Use (%)	Never	Once or Twice	Multiple Times	Ever	Lifetime Use (%)	Lifetime Never Used (%)		
Opioids	1458	45	47	92	5.90%	1047	*	*	95	8.30%	91.70%		
Psychomotor Stimulates	1219	168	163	331	21.40%	884	*	*	258	22.60%	77.40%		
Cannabis	580	330	640	970	62.60%	398	*	*	744	65.10%	34.90%		
MDMA	1397	95	58	153	9.90%	1013	*	*	129	11.30%	88.70%		
Psychedelics	1310	125	115	240	15.50%	950	*	*	192	16.80%	83.20%		
Sedatives	1330	128	92	220	14.20%	944	*	*	198	17.30%	82.70%		
Dissociatives/ Ketamine	1509	25	16	41	2.60%	1097	*	*	45	3.90%	96.10%		

*Changed to dichotomous drug usage data because in Study 2, middle responses provided no additional insight

0.83% Used psychedelics but not other drugs (except alcohol)

= Not asked

0.18% Used psyd only (except alcohol)

Study 3 Only

Psychedelic Specific Questions	Number	%
Primary Motive for Use (Psychedelic Users Only)		
Fun/Recreation	153	70.6
Growth	60	29.4
Belief that Psychedelics Could Be Used for Beneficial Purposes (Full Sample)		
Yes	593	51.6
No	549	48.4
Belief that Psychedelics Could Be Used for Beneficial Purposes (Psychedelic Users)		
Yes	164	85.4
No	28	14.6
Belief that Psychedelics Could Be Used for Beneficial Purposes (Psychedelic Non Users)		
Yes	429	45.2
No	521	54.8

Supplement 2. Scales and items across all three studies

Scale	Measure	Abbreviation	# of Items	Cronbach's α	Original Source	Study 1	Study 2	Study 3
Adult Self Transcendence Scale	Self-Transcendence	ASTI	10	0.84	Levenson, Jennings, Aldwin, & Shiraishi 2005; Vandecreek & Nye, 1993; Wayment et al., 2014	Included		
Death Transcendence Scale (Mysticism Subscale)	Self-Transcendence	MYST	5	0.94	Vandecreek, & Nye, 1993	Included		
Quite Ego Scale (Inclusive Identity Subscale)	Self-Transcendence	IIS	3	0.81	Wayment, Bauer, & Sylaska, 2014	Included	Included	
Philadelphia Mindfulness Scale (Short Awareness Subscale)	Awareness	PM.awa	3	0.77	Cardaciotto et al., 2008	Included		
Philadelphia Mindfulness Scale (Short Acceptance Subscale)	Equanimity	PM.acc (EQ)	4	0.84	Cardaciotto et al., 2008 In Progress, Validation Data Available	Included	Included	Included
Four Sublime Attitudes Scale	Kindness	FSA.	8	0.82		Included		
Self-Compassion Scale	Self-Compassion	SCS.sf	12	0.86	Raes et al., 2011	Included		
Scales of Postitive Negative Affect (Shortened Version)	Affect		6	Overall	Diener et al. 1985	Included		
Positive	Positive Affect	SPANE.p	3	0.9	Diener et al. 1985	Included		
Negative	Negative Affect	SPANE.n	3	0.83	Diener et al. 1985	Included		
Overall Satisfaction with Life Scale	Life Satisfaction	SWLS	6	0.89	Diener et al. 2010	Included		
Single Item Self-Transcendence	Self-Transcendence	SIST	1	N/A	Saroglou & Munoz-Garcia, 2008		Included	Included
Mindful Attention Awareness Scale (Short Version)	Mindfulness	MAAS	6	0.87	Johnson et al., 2012		Included	Included
Tendency to Forgive Scale	Forgiveness	TTF	4	0.76	Brown, 2003 Emmons, McCullough, & Tsang, 2003; Emmons & McCullough, 2003		Included	Included
Gratitude Scale	Gratitude	G3	3	0.93			Included	Included
Brief Humility Scale	Humility	HUM	3	0.57	Kruse et al., 2017		Included	
Dispositional Greed Scale	Greed	DGS	4	0.84	Krekels & Pandelaere, 2015		Included	

Supplement 2. Scales and items across all three studies (Cont.)

Scale	Measure	Abbreviation	# of Items	Cronbach's α	Original Source	Study 1	Study 2	Study 3
Malicious Envy Scale	Envy	MES	3	0.87	Lange & Crusius, 2015		Included	
Positive and Negative Affect Schedule (Extended Version)	Hate	HATE	3	0.89	Watson & Clark, 1994; Lange & Crusius, 2015		Included	
Duke-UNC Functional Social Support Questionnaire	Perceived Social Support	FSSQ3	3	0.92	Saracino et al., 2015		Included	
Single Item Volunteering Frequency	Volunteering Frequency (Days per month)	VOL	1	N/A	Ad Hoc Spinella, prep., validity data available		Included	
Compassionate Attitude Scale of Altruism	Altruism	CASA	3	0.86				Included
Unconditional Self Kindness Scale	Self-kindness	USKS	3	0.8	Smith et al. 2018			Included
Benefit Reminding Scale	Benefit Reminding (the tendency to cognitively reframe difficulties as opportunities for development and learning)	BRS	4	0.87	Affleck, & Tennen, 1996			Included
Elevation Scale	Elevation (the tendency to be uplifted by witnessing the kind virtuous actions of others)	ELEV	5	0.91	Schnall, Roper, & Fessler, 2010			Included
Awe, Wonder, and Beauty Scale (Short Version of AWE-S)	Awe	AWE3	3	0.79	Yaden et. al., 2019			Included
Patient Health Questionnaire	Mental Health	PHQ4	4		Kroenke et al., 2009			
Anxiety	Anxiety	PHQ.a	2	0.88	Kroenke et al., 2009			Included
Depression	Depression	PHQ.d	2	0.84	Kroenke et al., 2009			Included
Perceived Social Support*	Social Support	SIPSS	1	N/A	Atroszko et al., 2015			Included
Single Item Meaning in Life	Meaning in Life	SIMIL	1	N/A	Ad Hoc			Included
Single Item Life Satisfaction	Life Satisfaction	SILS	1	N/A	Ad Hoc			Included

Supplement 2. Scales and items across all three studies (Cont.)

Scale	Measure	Abbreviation	# of Items	Cronbach's α	Original Source	Study 1	Study 2	Study 3
Psychological Distress Scale	Distress		5 Overall	N/A	Ad Hoc			
Single Item Perceived Physical Health Scale	Perceived Health	SIPH	1	N/A	Smith et al., 1999		Included	Included
Single Item State Helplessness*	Helplessness	SISH	1	N/A	Ad Hoc			Included
Single Item State Boredom*	Boredom	SISB	1	N/A	Ad Hoc			Included
Single Item State Pain*	Pain	SISP	1	N/A	Hawker et al., 2011			Included
Single Item Subjective Sleep Quality*	Sleep Quality	SISQ	1	N/A	Atroszko et al., 2015		Included	Included
Fear of the COVID-19 Pandemic*	COVID Fear COVID Financial	SIPF	1	N/A	Ad Hoc			Included
Financial Fear of the COVID-19 Pandemic*	Fear	SIFF	1	N/A	Ad Hoc			Included
Lifetime Use of Psychoactive Drugs Panel**	Drug Use	N/A	7	N/A	Ad Hoc		Included	Included

All measures, unless otherwise specified, used a 7-point Likert-type scale to provide consistency across items.

* Employs a 10 point Likert Scale (anchors: 1-Not at All, 10-Very Much)

** scale covering the non-medical use of cannabis, opioids, sedatives, MDMA, dissociatives, and major stimulants, using a 3-point rating scale (Never, Once or twice, Multiple times)

Sample Items

Scale	Abbreviation	# of Items	Item Example
Compassionate Attitude Scale of Altruism	CASA	3	<p>"When I help others, I feel like I am a part of something bigger,"</p> <p>"I like making a contribution to the world around me,"</p> <p>"Helping people helps me feel a little happier and more connected to others."</p>
Unconditional Self Kindness Scale	USKS	3	<p>"How kind and patient are you with yourself when you: 1.) ...are criticized or rejected by another person?</p> <p>2.) ...become aware of your personal flaws and imperfections?</p> <p>3.) ...fail or make a mistake?"</p>

Supplement 2. *Scales and items across all three studies (Cont.)*

Sample Items

Scale	Abbreviation	# of Items	Item Example
Benefit Reminding Scale	BRS	4	"When something unfortunate occurs, I recognize that there is something to be learned from it."
Awe, Wonder, and Beauty Scale	AWE3	3	"I feel a sense of wonder almost every day."
Perceived Social Support*	SIPSS	1	"How much caring and support do you get from family and friends?"
Psychological Distress Scale	PHQ-5	5	"Answer the following questions for the last two weeks: {SISH,SISB,SISP,SISQ,SIPH}"
Single Item State Helplessness*	SISH	1	"I feel helpless"
Single Item State Boredom*	SISB	1	"I feel boredom"
Single Item State Pain*	SISP	1	"How much overall physical pain have you felt?"
Single Item Subjective Sleep Quality*	SISQ	1	"What has the overall quality of your sleep been?"
Fear of the COVID-19 Pandemic*	SIPF	1	"How fearful are you in general about the Coronavirus outbreak?"
Financial Fear of the COVID-19 Pandemic*	SIFF	1	How concerned are you about your financial status due to the quarantine?"

Supplement 3. Drug use correlations and analysis

Study 2
Spearman's
rho

	Opioids	Stimulants	Cannabis	MDMA	Psychedelics	Sedatives	Dissociatives	Alcohol
Opioids	-							
Stimulants	.39	-						
Cannabis	.22	.49	-					
MDMA	.47	.50	.33	-				
Psychedelics	.41	.56	.43	.56	-			
Sedatives	.49	.48	.31	.43	.39	-		
Dissociatives	.30	.25	.17	.35	.30	.28	-	
Alcohol	.07	.33	.36	.19	.19	.16	.09	-
Opioids	-							
Stimulants	.000	-						
Cannabis	.000	.000	-					
MDMA	.000	.000	.000	-				
Psychedelics	.000	.000	.000	.000	-			
Sedatives	.000	.000	.000	.000	.000	-		
Dissociatives	.000	.000	.000	.000	.000	.000	-	
Alcohol	.005	.000	.000	.000	.000	.000	.000	-

Supplement 3. Drug use correlations and analysis (Cont.)

Pearson Partial Correlations

Controlling for: age, sex, education, ethnicity, income, religious affiliation, residency,
df = 1516 student status, work status

		Opioids	Stimulants	Cannabis	MDMA	Psychedelics	Sedatives	Dissociatives	Alcohol
Correlations	Opioids	-							
28	Stimulants	.43	-						
Bonferroni .002	Cannabis	.21	.46	-					
	MDMA	.50	.51	.30	-				
	Psychedelics	.42	.54	.40	.59	-			
	Sedatives	.54	.53	.30	.47	.42	-		
	Dissociatives	.36	.29	.16	.41	.35	.32	-	
	Alcohol	.05	.32	.35	.19	.19	.16	.09	-
		Opioids	-						
	Stimulants	.000	-						
	Cannabis	.000	.000	-					
	MDMA	.000	.000	.000	-				
	Psychedelics	.000	.000	.000	.000	-			
	Sedatives	.000	.000	.000	.000	.000	-		
	Dissociatives	.000	.000	.000	.000	.000	.000	-	
	Alcohol	.039	.000	.000	.000	.000	.000	.001	-

Supplement 3. Drug use correlations and analysis (Cont.)

Study 3 (N = 1142)

Spearman Correlations (Ordinal scale data)

	Opioid	Stimulants	Cannabis	MDMA	Sedatives	Dissociatives	Psychedelics	Alcohol
Opioid	-							
Stimulants	.43	-						
Cannabis	.27	.48	-					
MDMA	.40	.52	.38	-				
Sedatives	.42	.43	.30	.41	-			
Dissociatives	.29	.36	.21	.47	.32	-		
Psychedelics	.32	.51	.48	.53	.36	.36	-	
Alcohol	-.01	.12	.25	.02	.10	.00	-.01	-

Spearman Correlations

	Opioid	Stimulants	Cannabis	MDMA	Sedatives	Dissociatives	Psychedelics	Alcohol
Opioid	.	.000	.000	.000	.000	.000	.000	.867
Stimulants	.000	.	.000	.000	.000	.000	.000	.000
Cannabis	.000	.000	.	.000	.000	.000	.000	.000
MDMA	.000	.000	.000	.	.000	.000	.000	.509
Sedatives	.000	.000	.000	.000	.	.000	.000	.001
Dissociatives	.000	.000	.000	.000	.000	.	.000	.939
Psychedelics	.000	.000	.000	.000	.000	.000	.	.755
Alcohol	.867	.000	.000	.509	.001	.939	.755	.

Psychedelics

	<i>rs</i>	<i>p</i>
Opioid	.32	< .001
Stimulants	.51	< .001
Cannabis	.48	< .001
MDMA	.53	< .001
Sedatives	.36	< .001
Dissociatives	.36	< .001
Alcohol	-.01	0.867

Supplement 3. Drug use correlations and analysis (Cont.)

PSYD users only

Correlation Between Measures and Frequency of Psychedelic Use				DRUG.psyd.freq		i	#	rank of correlation
Benjamini Hochberg - control for multiple correlations				Rank (i)	(i/m)*Q	m	24	number of tests
		rho	p (2-tailed)			Q	0.05	false discovery rate
Mindfulness	MAAS6	.24	.001	1	.00			
Equanimity	EQ	.19	.010	2	.00			
Forgiveness	TTF	.19	.011	3	.01			
Self-Transcendence	SI.transc	.15	.039	4	.01			
Sitting med lifetime	sitmed	.14	.050	5	.01			
Altruism	CASA	.11	.119	6	.01			
Gratitude	G3	.10	.155	7	.01			
Moving med lifetime	movmed	.10	.171	8	.02			
Life satisfaction	SILS	.08	.290	9	.02			
State Pain	SISP	.07	.317	10	.02			
Awe	AWE3	.07	.349	11	.02			
Sleep quality	SISQ	.06	.376	12	.03			
Self-kindness	Self.Kind	.04	.578	13	.03			
Perceived Health	SIPH	.03	.664	14	.03			
Meaning	SIMIL	.03	.714	15	.03			
Benefit Reminding*	BRS	.02	.827	16	.03			
Elevation	ELEV	-.04	.557	17	.04			
Perceived Support*	SIPSS	-.05	.537	18	.04			
Anxiety	PHQ.anx	-.06	.391	19	.04			
State helplessness	SISH	-.09	.211	20	.04			
Financial Fear	SIFF	-.10	.176	21	.04			
Depression	PHQ.dep	-.11	.149	22	.05			
State boredom	SISB	-.18	.015	23	.05			
Pandemic fear	SIPF	-.20	.006	24	.05			

*All are in the predicted direction except Benefit Reminding and Perceived Support

Supplement 4. MANCOVAs and ANOVAs for all three studies

S4.1 Study 1 MANCOVAs and ANCOVA

Study 1 MANCOVAs and ANCOVA

Demo	Significant and Non-Significant Covariates				Psychedelics			
	Effect	Wilks' Lambda	F	Hypothesis df	Error df	<i>p</i>	η^2	
Age	DEMO.Age	.871	6.02	11	445	.000	.13	
Sex	DEMO.Sex.num	.938	2.67	11	445	.002	.06	
Education	DEMO.Ed	.936	2.77	11	445	.002	.06	
Orthodox religious affiliation	DEMO.orthodox.rel	.933	2.89	11	445	.001	.07	
Use anti-anxiety medications	DEMO.antianx	.970	1.25	11	445	.255	.03	
Use anti-depressant medications	DEMO.antidep	.976	1.01	11	445	.433	.02	
Lifetime meditation hours	DEMO.total.life.hrs.tr	.920	3.54	11	445	.000	.08	
Psychedelic use	DEMO.PSYD.user	.916	3.71	11	445	.000	.08	

Psychedelic Use vs Measures

DV		Psychedelic User		Non-User		<i>F</i>	<i>p</i>	η^2	Predicted?	Size	Direction
		<i>M</i>	<i>SE</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>SE</i>						
Mysticism	T.MYST	54.1	1.3	49.2	.5	6.47	.000	.10	Yes	Medium	↑
Inclusive Identity	T.IIS	53.9	1.2	49.3	.5	8.54	.000	.13	Yes	Medium	↑
Self-Transcendence	T.ASTI	50.7	1.2	49.7	.5	6.32	.000	.10	Yes	Medium	↑
Equanimity	T.PMacc	53.0	1.2	49.6	.5	7.19	.000	.11	Yes	Medium	↑
Mindful Awareness	T.PMawa2	50.6	1.2	50.0	.5	1.20	.299	.02	-	n.s.	
Four Sublime Attitudes	T.FSA.total	52.1	1.3	49.6	.5	3.97	.000	.07	Yes	Medium	↑
Self-Compassion	T.SCStot	53.2	1.2	49.4	.5	9.64	.000	.15	Yes	Large	↑
Life Satisfaction	T.SWLS	50.3	1.2	50.0	.5	5.87	.000	.09	Yes	Medium	↑
Positive Affect	T.SPANep	50.5	1.2	49.8	.5	3.81	.000	.06	Yes	Medium	↑
Negative Affect	T.SPANen	49.5	1.2	50.1	.5	2.46	.013	.04	Yes	Small	↓

S4.1 Study 1 MANCOVAs and ANCOVA (Cont.)

ANCOVA - Psychedelic Use vs Meditation Experience

Tests of Between-Subjects Effects Users: n = 72
 Dependent Variable: Non-users: n =
 DEMO.total.life.hrs.tr 393

Source	Type III Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	p	Partial Eta Squared	
Intercept	Hypothesis	7.545	1	7.545	26.993	.000	0.722
	Error	2.905	10.391	.280a			
DEMO.Age	Hypothesis	0.077	1	0.077	0.391	.532	0.001
	Error	90.944	460	.198b			
DEMO.Sex.num	Hypothesis	1.249	1	1.249	6.316	.012	0.014
	Error	90.944	460	.198b			
DEMO.Ed	Hypothesis	3.422	1	3.422	17.307	.000	0.036
	Error	90.944	460	.198b			
DEMO.Halluc.dichot	Hypothesis	3.855	1	3.855	19.501	.000	0.041
	Error	90.944	460	.198b			

a .022 MS(DEMO.Halluc.dichot) + .978 MS(Error)
 b MS(Error)

DEMO.Halluc.dichot

Dependent Variable: DEMO.total.life.hrs.tr

DEMO.Halluc.dichot	Mean	Std. Error	95% Confidence Interval	
			Lower Bound	Upper Bound
0	-.611*	0.022	-0.655	-0.567
1	-.357*	0.053	-0.461	-0.253

*Covariates appearing in the model are evaluated at the following values: DEMO.Age = 28.38, DEMO.Sex.num = .28, DEMO.Ed = 15.186.

Report			
DEMO.total.life.hrs			
DEMO.Halluc.dichot	Mean	Std. Deviation	
0	230.996	1583.8118	
1	813.972	2428.3398	
Total	321.263	1751.0296	

S4.2 Study 2 MANCOVAs

Significant and Non-Significant Covariates		Psychedelics						Psychedelic Use vs Measures											
Demo	Effect	Wilks' Lambda	F	Hypothesis df	Error df	p	η^2	* Significant covariates are controlled for in Measures MANCOVA											
								No Psychedelic		Psychedelic		F	p	η^2	Size	Direction			
DV	M	SE	M	SE															
Age	DEMOAgetr	.949	5.77	14	1496	.000	.05	Mindfulness	T.MAAS	51.5	.8	49.6	.3	8.60	.000	.09	Medium	Adaptive	↑
Sex	DEMOSexnum	.926	8.53	14	1496	.000	.07	Equanimity	T.EQ	50.8	.8	49.8	.3	10.07	.000	.10	Medium	Adaptive	↑
Education	DEMOEdnontr	.980	2.22	14	1496	.006	.02	Gratitude	T.G3	51.2	.8	49.7	.3	3.58	.000	.04	Small	Adaptive	↑
Ethnicity	DEMOEthcauc	.944	6.35	14	1496	.000	.06	Forgiveness	T.TTF	50.6	.8	49.9	.3	3.91	.000	.04	Small	Adaptive	↑
Religious Affiliation	DEMORelaffil	.966	3.82	14	1496	.000	.03	Humility	T.HUM	51.9	.8	49.7	.3	3.23	.000	.04	Small	Adaptive	↑
NJ Residence	DEMONJ	.992	.90	14	1496	.560	.01	Perceived Support	T.FSSQ	49.5	.8	50.4	.3	2.42	.001	.03	Small	Maladaptive	↓
Income	DEMOIncometr	.993	.74	14	1496	.735	.01	Inclusive Identity	T.IIS	51.1	.8	49.8	.3	3.26	.000	.04	Small	Adaptive	↑
Student status	DEMOSudentnum	.971	3.20	14	1496	.000	.03	Self-transcendence	T.SIST	52.7	.8	49.4	.3	4.63	.000	.05	Small	Adaptive	↑
Work status	DEMOWorknum	.992	.90	14	1496	.562	.01	Sleep Quality	T.SISQ	50.2	.8	50.1	.3	1.51	.082	.02	n.s.	-	-
Opioid use	TDUFopdi	.990	1.03	14	1496	.416	.01	Perceived Health	T.SIPH	51.1	.8	50.2	.3	1.69	.039	.02	Small	Adaptive	↑
MDMA	TDUFstimdi	.991	1.00	14	1496	.455	.01	Volunteering	T.VOL	50.4	.8	49.9	.3	2.51	.001	.03	Small	Adaptive	↑
Sedative	TDUFcbdi	.971	3.24	14	1496	.000	.03	Greed	T.DGS	48.1	.8	50.3	.3	13.36	.000	.13	Medium	Adaptive	↓
Dissociatives	TDUFmdmadi	.990	1.04	14	1496	.413	.01	Envy	T.MES	48.0	.8	50.0	.3	9.23	.000	.09	Medium	Adaptive	↓
Alcohol	TDUFseddi	.976	2.62	14	1496	.001	.02	Hate	T.HATE	48.7	.8	50.0	.3	9.84	.000	.10	Medium	Adaptive	↓
Major Stimulants	TDUFketdi	.992	.88	14	1496	.582	.01												
Cannabis	TDUFalc	.968	3.52	14	1496	.000	.03												
Psychedelic	TDUFpsydi	.978	2.38	14	1496	.003	.02												

S4.2 Study 2 MANCOVAs (Cont.)

Significant and Non-Significant Covariates		Cannabis						Cannabis Use vs Measures											
Demo	Effect	Wilks' Lambda	F	Hypothesis df	Error df	p	η^2	* Significant covariates are controlled for in Measures MANCOVA											
								Cannabis		No Cannabis		F	p	η^2	Size	Direction			
DV	M	SE	M	SE															
Age	DEMOAgetr	.949	5.77	14	1496	.000	.05	Mindfulness	T.MAAS	49.8	.3	50.1	.4	8.60	.000	.09	Medium	Mal-adaptive	↓
Sex	DEMOSexnum	.926	8.53	14	1496	.000	.07	Equanimity	T.EQ	49.6	.3	50.5	.4	10.07	.000	.10	Medium	Mal-adaptive	↓
Education	DEMOEdnontr	.980	2.22	14	1496	.006	.02	Gratitude	T.G3	50.1	.3	49.7	.5	3.58	.000	.04	Small	Adaptive	↑
Ethnicity	DEMOEthcauc	.944	6.35	14	1496	.000	.06	Forgiveness	T.TTF	49.7	.3	50.6	.5	3.91	.000	.04	Small	Mal-adaptive	↓
Religious Affiliation	DEMORelaffil	.966	3.82	14	1496	.000	.03	Humility	T.HUM	50.5	.3	49.4	.4	3.23	.000	.04	Small	Adaptive	↑
NJ Residence	DEMONJ	.992	.90	14	1496	.560	.01	Perceived Support	T.FSSQ	50.2	.3	50.4	.5	2.42	.001	.03	Small	Mal-adaptive	↓
Income Student status	DEMOIncometr	.993	.74	14	1496	.735	.01	Inclusive Identity	T.IIS	50.7	.3	48.8	.5	3.26	.000	.04	Small	Adaptive	↑
Work status	DEMOWorknum	.992	.90	14	1496	.562	.01	Self-transcendence	T.SIST	50.4	.3	49.2	.4	4.63	.000	.05	Small	Adaptive	↑
Opioid use	TDUFopdi	.990	1.03	14	1496	.416	.01	Sleep Quality	T.SISQ	50.0	.3	50.2	.5	1.51	.082	.02	n.s.	-	-
MDMA	TDUFstimdi	.991	1.00	14	1496	.455	.01	Perceived Health	T.SIPH	50.5	.3	50.1	.5	1.69	.039	.02	Small	Adaptive	↑
Sedative	TDUFmdmadi	.990	1.04	14	1496	.413	.01	Volunteering	T.VOL	49.3	.3	51.0	.5	2.51	.001	.03	Small	Mal-adaptive	↓
Dissociatives	TDUFseddi	.976	2.62	14	1496	.001	.02	Greed	T.DGS	50.5	.3	49.1	.4	13.36	.000	.13	Medium	Mal-adaptive	↑
Alcohol Major Stimulants	TDUFketdi	.992	.88	14	1496	.582	.01	Envy	T.MES	49.6	.3	50.0	.4	9.23	.000	.09	Medium	Adaptive	↓
Psychedelic	TDUFpsydi	.968	3.52	14	1496	.000	.03	Hate	T.HATE	50.2	.3	49.0	.4	9.84	.000	.10	Medium	Mal-adaptive	↑
Cannabis	TDUFcbdi	.971	3.24	14	1496	.000	.03												

S4.2 Study 2 MANCOVAs (Cont.)

Significant and Non-Significant Covariates		Alcohol						Alcohol Use vs Measures											
Demo	Effect	Wilks' Lambda	F	Hypothesis df	Error df	p	η^2	* Significant covariates are controlled for in Measures MANCOVA											
								Alcohol		No Alcohol		F	p	η^2	Size	Direction			
M	SE	M	SE	DV	M	SE	M	SE	F	p	η^2						Size	Direction	
Age	DEMOAgetr	.948	5.81	14	1496	.000	.05												
Sex	DEMOSexnum	.924	8.84	14	1496	.000	.08	Mindfulness	MAAS	49.8	.3	50.1	.5	8.31	.000	.09	Medium	Mal-adaptive	↓
Education	DEMOEdnontr	.980	2.19	14	1496	.006	.02	Equanimity	EQ	49.6	.3	50.6	.5	10.07	.000	.10	Medium	Mal-adaptive	↓
Ethnicity	DEMOEthcauc	.945	6.23	14	1496	.000	.06	Gratitude	G3	49.9	.3	50.0	.5	3.54	.000	.04	Small	Mal-adaptive	↓
Religious Affiliation	DEMORelaffil	.965	3.82	14	1496	.000	.04	Forgiveness	TTF	50.3	.3	49.4	.5	3.99	.000	.04	Small	Adaptive	↑
NJ Residence	DEMONJ	.992	.90	14	1496	.562	.01	Humility Perceived	HUM	49.6	.3	51.1	.5	3.13	.000	.03	Small	Mal-adaptive	↓
Income Student status	DEMOIncometr	.993	.73	14	1496	.750	.01	Support Inclusive	FSSQ	50.4	.3	50.0	.5	2.45	.001	.03	Small	Adaptive	↑
	DEMOSudentnum	.971	3.21	14	1496	.000	.03	Identity Self-transcendence	IIS	50.0	.3	49.9	.5	3.24	.000	.04	Small	Adaptive	↑
Work status	DEMOWorknum	.993	.81	14	1496	.663	.01		SIST	49.9	.3	50.1	.5	4.59	.000	.05	Small	Mal-adaptive	↓
Opioid Major Stimulants	TDUFopdi	.991	1.01	14	1496	.438	.01	Sleep Quality Perceived	SISQ	50.5	.3	49.4	.5	1.60	.057	.02	n.s.	-	-
	TDUFstimdi	.989	1.17	14	1496	.295	.01	Health	SIPH	50.8	.3	49.4	.5	2.05	.007	.02	Small	Adaptive	↑
Cannabis	TDUFcbdi	.970	3.28	14	1496	.000	.03	Volunteering	VOL	49.8	.3	50.2	.5	2.40	.001	.03	Small	Mal-adaptive	↓
MDMA	TDUFmdmadi	.990	1.13	14	1496	.324	.01	Greed	DGS	50.8	.3	48.4	.4	12.97	.000	.13	Medium	Mal-adaptive	↑
Psychedelic	TDUFpsydi	.978	2.42	14	1496	.002	.02	Envy	MES	50.3	.3	48.6	.5	8.97	.000	.09	Medium	Mal-adaptive	↑
Sedative	TDUFseddi	.976	2.62	14	1496	.001	.02	Hate	HATE	49.9	.3	49.5	.5	9.59	.000	.10	Medium	Mal-adaptive	↑
Dissociatives	TDUFketdi	.992	.88	14	1496	.579	.01												
Alcohol	TDUFalc dichot	.967	3.66	14	1496	.000	.03												

S4.3 Study 3 MANCOVAs

S4.3.1 MANCOVA Psychedelic Users (182) vs Non-Users (826)

Significant and Non-Significant Covariates

Psychedelic Use vs Measures

* Significant covariates are controlled for in Measures MANCOVA

Effect	Wilks' Lambda	F	Hypothesis df	Error df	p	η ²	Psychedelic Use vs Measures											
							DV	Used M	Used SE	Never Used M	Never Used SE	F	p	η ²	Size	Direction		
DEMOagetr	.971	1.28	22	956	.178	.03	Mindfulness	MAAS6	50.4	.9	50.0	.3	5.93	.000	.15	Large	Adaptive	↑
DEMOsexnum	.811	10.16	22	956	.000	.19	Equanimity	EQ	52.0	.9	49.6	.3	6.36	.000	.16	Large	Adaptive	↑
DEMOeductr	.947	2.42	22	956	.000	.05	Self-Kindness	USKS3	51.4	.9	49.7	.4	4.23	.000	.12	Medium	Adaptive	↑
DEMOzipNJ	.975	1.12	22	956	.316	.03	Altruism	CASA	52.2	.9	49.6	.4	3.46	.000	.10	Medium	Adaptive	↑
DEMOUSA	.981	.83	22	956	.690	.02	Awe	AWE3	53.2	.9	49.2	.3	3.69	.000	.10	Medium	Adaptive	↑
DEMOincometr	.960	1.80	22	956	.013	.04	Elevation Benefit	ELEV	51.6	.9	49.6	.4	3.46	.000	.10	Medium	Adaptive	↑
DEMOethnicdishot	.942	2.66	22	956	.000	.06	Reminding	BRS	52.0	.9	49.6	.4	2.62	.000	.08	Medium	Adaptive	↑
Demoreligdichot	.963	1.69	22	956	.024	.04	Gratitude	G3	50.2	.9	49.6	.4	2.78	.000	.08	Medium	Adaptive	↑
DEMOstudentnum	.962	1.71	22	956	.023	.04	Forgiveness	TTF	51.4	.9	49.7	.3	3.06	.000	.09	Medium	Adaptive	↑
DEMOworknum	.937	2.90	22	956	.000	.06	Transcendence Perceived	SIST	53.4	.9	49.6	.3	4.80	.000	.13	Medium	Adaptive	↑
DEMOstudentdichnum	.979	.94	22	956	.547	.02	Support	SIPSS	51.2	.9	49.8	.4	1.75	.008	.05	Small	Adaptive	↑
ITEMLifetimesitmedtr	.954	2.10	22	956	.002	.05	Meaning in Life	SIMIL	52.4	.9	49.5	.4	2.98	.000	.08	Medium	Adaptive	↑
ITEMLifetimemovmedtr	.973	1.21	22	956	.229	.03	Satisfaction Perceived	SILS	51.0	.9	49.9	.4	3.78	.000	.10	Medium	Adaptive	↑
Drugpsydbeneficial	.948	2.39	22	956	.000	.05	Health	SIPH	51.1	.9	49.8	.4	2.48	.000	.07	Medium	Adaptive	↑
DrugOPqu	.979	.93	22	956	.556	.02	Sleep	SISQ	51.6	.9	49.2	.4	3.24	.000	.09	Medium	Adaptive	↑
DrugSTIMqu	.977	1.01	22	956	.445	.02	Anxiety	PHQ.anx	49.0	.8	50.3	.3	6.50	.000	.17	Large	Adaptive	↓
DrugCANqu	.949	2.31	22	956	.001	.05	Depression	PHQ.dep	48.5	.8	50.0	.3	6.12	.000	.16	Large	Adaptive	↓

S4.3.1 MANCOVA Psychedelic Users (182) vs Non-Users (826) (Cont.)

Significant and Non-Significant Covariates

Effect	Wilks' Lambda	F	Hypothesis df	Error df	p	η^2
DrugSEDqu	.962	1.74	22	956	.019	.04
DrugDISSOCqu	.971	1.31	22	956	.155	.03
DRUGOplife	.977	1.03	22	956	.418	.02
DRUGStimlife	.971	1.30	22	956	.161	.03
DRUGCanlife	.963	1.65	22	956	.031	.04
DRUGMDMAlife	.968	1.45	22	956	.083	.03
DRUGSedlife	.975	1.13	22	956	.305	.03
DRUGDissoclife	.966	1.55	22	956	.051	.03
CVQUlivelone	.984	.71	22	956	.832	.02
DRUGALcusual	.981	.82	22	956	.703	.02
DrugALCqu	.985	.65	22	956	.887	.02
Drugpsydusedever	.952	2.17	22	956	.001	.05

Psychedelic Use vs Measures

* Significant covariates are controlled for in Measures MANCOVA

DV		Used		Never Used		F	p	η^2	Size	Direction
		M	SE	M	SE					
Helplessness	SISH	48.3	.9	50.2	.3	4.64	.000	.13	Medium	Adaptive ↓
Boredom	SISB	47.0	.8	49.8	.3	9.43	.000	.23	Large	Adaptive ↓
Pain Perception	SISP	49.6	.9	50.1	.4	2.28	.000	.07	Medium	Adaptive ↓
Pandemic Fear	SIPF	49.6	.9	49.8	.4	4.33	.000	.12	Medium	Adaptive ↓
Financial Fear	SIFF	50.12	.9	50.10	.3	3.29	.000	.09	Medium	Mal-adaptive ↑

S4.3.2 MANCOVA Cannabis Users (675) vs Cannabis Non-Users (333)

Significant and Non-Significant Covariates

Cannabis Use vs Measures

* Significant covariates are controlled for in Measures MANCOVA

Effect	Wilks' Lambda	F	Hypothesis df	Error df	p	ηp ²	DV	Cannabis		No Cannabis		F	p	ηp ²	Size	Direction	
								M	SE	M	SE						
DEMOagetr	.970	1.37	22	956	.121	.03											
DEMOsexnum	.813	9.98	22	956	.000	.19	Mindfulness	T.MAAS6	49.8	.4	50.4	.6	5.96	.000	.16	Large	Mal-adaptive ↓
DEMOeductr	.946	2.49	22	956	.000	.05	Equanimity	T.EQ	49.5	.4	51.2	.6	6.39	.000	.16	Large	Mal-adaptive ↓
DEMOzipNJ	.975	1.11	22	956	.328	.03	Self-Kindness	T.Self.Kind	49.2	.4	51.6	.6	4.18	.000	.11	Medium	Mal-adaptive ↓
DEMOUSA	.980	.88	22	956	.627	.02	Altruism	T.CASA	50.0	.4	50.1	.6	3.37	.000	.09	Medium	Mal-adaptive ↓
DEMOincometr	.959	1.84	22	956	.011	.04	Awe	T.AWE3	49.8	.4	50.0	.6	3.73	.000	.10	Medium	Mal-adaptive ↓
DEMOethnicdishot	.943	2.62	22	956	.000	.06	Elevation Benefit	T.ELEV	49.9	.4	50.0	.6	3.27	.000	.09	Medium	Mal-adaptive ↓
Demoreligdichot	.963	1.69	22	956	.025	.04	Reminding	T.BRS	49.9	.4	50.5	.6	2.66	.000	.08	Medium	Mal-adaptive ↓
DEMOstudentnum	.961	1.77	22	956	.016	.04	Gratitude	T.G3	49.7	.4	49.6	.6	2.72	.000	.08	Medium	Adaptive ↑
DEMOworknum	.943	2.61	22	956	.000	.06	Forgiveness	T.TTF	49.4	.4	51.2	.6	3.00	.000	.08	Medium	Mal-adaptive ↓
DEMOstudentdichnum	.976	1.05	22	956	.396	.02	Self-Transcendence	T.SI.transc	50.4	.4	50.2	.6	5.00	.000	.13	Medium	Adaptive ↑
ITEMLifetimesitmedtr	.955	2.03	22	956	.003	.05	Perceived Support	T.SI.Support	49.5	.4	51.2	.6	2.03	.001	.06	Small	Mal-adaptive ↓
ITEMLifetimemovmedtr	.976	1.07	22	956	.369	.02	Perceived Health	T.SI.Perc.health	49.3	.4	51.3	.6	3.01	.000	.09	Medium	Mal-adaptive ↓
CVQUlivealone	.986	.60	22	956	.926	.01	Sleep Quality	T.SI.Sleep	49.6	.4	51.3	.6	3.81	.000	.11	Medium	Mal-adaptive ↓
CVQUhighrisk	.920	3.76	22	956	.000	.08	Meaning in Life	T.SI.Meaning	49.4	.4	51.3	.6	3.28	.000	.09	Medium	Mal-adaptive ↓
DrugOPqu	.979	.95	22	956	.525	.02	Life Satisfaction	T.SI.Life.Sat	49.3	.4	50.4	.6	3.43	.000	.10	Medium	Mal-adaptive ↓

S4.3.2 MANCOVA Cannabis Users (675) vs Cannabis Non-Users (333) (Cont.)

Significant and Non-Significant Covariates

Cannabis Use vs Measures

* Significant covariates are controlled for in Measures MANCOVA

Effect	Wilks' Lambda	F	Hypothesis df	Error df	p	η^2	Cannabis Use vs Measures											
							DV	Cannabis		No Cannabis		F	p	η^2	Size	Direction		
DrugSTIMqu	.976	1.07	22	956	.371	.02												
DrugMDMAqu	.977	1.03	22	956	.422	.02	Anxiety	T.PHQ.anx	50.2	.4	49.9	.6	6.56	.000	.17	Large	Mal-adaptive	↑
DrugSEDqu	.963	1.68	22	956	.026	.04	Depression	T.PHQ.dep	50.3	.4	48.6	.6	5.97	.000	.16	Large	Mal-adaptive	↑
DrugDISSOCqu	.973	1.20	22	956	.239	.03	Helplessness	T.SI.Helpless	50.4	.4	48.8	.6	4.38	.000	.12	Medium	Mal-adaptive	↑
DrugALCqu	.985	.66	22	956	.880	.02	Boredom Pain	T.SI.Bored	49.9	.4	48.1	.5	9.30	.000	.22	Large	Mal-adaptive	↑
DRUGOplife	.979	.95	22	956	.535	.02	Perception	T.SI.Pain	50.7	.4	48.5	.6	3.33	.000	.09	Medium	Mal-adaptive	↑
DRUGStimlife	.974	1.16	22	956	.275	.03	Pandemic Fear	T.QU.financial	50.4	.4	48.5	.6	4.14	.000	.11	Medium	Mal-adaptive	↑
DRUGMDMAlife	.967	1.50	22	956	.065	.03	Financial Fear	T.QU.fear	49.8	.4	50.6	.6	3.80	.000	.11	Medium	Adaptive	↓
DRUGSedlife	.975	1.12	22	956	.321	.03												
DRUGDissoclife	.965	1.58	22	956	.043	.04												
DRUGAlcusual1_A	.975	1.11	22	956	.334	.03												
Drugpsydbeneficial	.945	2.54	22	956	.000	.06												
Drugpsydusedever	.953	2.15	22	956	.002	.05												
DRUGCanlife	.952	2.17	22	956	.001	.05												

S4.3.3 MANCOVA Alcohol Users (693) vs Non-Users (315)

Significant and Non-Significant Covariates

Effect	Wilks' Lambda	F	Hypothesis df	Error df	p	η ²
DEMOagetr	.968	1.42	22	956	.096	.03
DEMOsexnum	.811	10.09	22	956	.000	.19
DEMOeductr	.947	2.42	22	956	.000	.05
DEMOzipNJ	.974	1.15	22	956	.287	.03
DEMOUSA	.981	.82	22	956	.699	.02
DEMOincometr	.960	1.81	22	956	.013	.04
DEMOethnicdishot	.944	2.58	22	956	.000	.06
Demoreligdichot	.963	1.68	22	956	.025	.04
DEMOstudentnum	.963	1.69	22	956	.024	.04
DEMOworknum	.939	2.84	22	956	.000	.06
DEMOstudentdichnum	.979	.94	22	956	.537	.02
ITEMLifetimesitmedtr	.954	2.11	22	956	.002	.05
ITEMLifetimemovmedtr	.973	1.23	22	956	.216	.03
Drugpsydbeneficial	.948	2.37	22	956	.000	.05
Drugpsydusedever	.958	1.89	22	956	.008	.04
DrugOPqu	.980	.87	22	956	.639	.02
DrugSTIMqu	.980	.87	22	956	.632	.02
DrugCANqu	.951	2.25	22	956	.001	.05
DrugMDMAqu	.976	1.08	22	956	.368	.02

Alcohol Use vs Measures

* Significant covariates are controlled for in Measures MANCOVA

DV		Used		Never Used		F	p	η ²	Size	Direction
		M	SE	M	SE					
Mindfulness	MAAS6	49.6	.4	50.9	.6	6.06	.000	.16	Large	Maladaptive ↓
Equanimity	EQ	49.6	.4	51.0	.6	6.51	.000	.17	Large	Maladaptive ↓
Self-Kindness	USKS	49.8	.4	50.3	.6	4.24	.000	.12	Medium	Maladaptive ↓
Altruism	CASA	50.1	.4	49.9	.6	3.46	.000	.10	Medium	Adaptive ↑
Awe	AWE3	49.7	.4	50.4	.6	3.68	.000	.10	Medium	Maladaptive ↓
Elevation Benefit Reminding	ELEV	50.0	.4	49.8	.6	3.49	.000	.10	Medium	Adaptive ↑
	BRS	50.3	.4	49.5	.6	2.65	.000	.08	Medium	Adaptive ↑
Gratitude	G3	49.9	.4	49.3	.6	2.72	.000	.08	Medium	Adaptive ↑
Forgiveness	TTF	50.3	.4	49.2	.6	3.16	.000	.09	Medium	Adaptive ↑
Self-Transcendence	SIST	50.2	.4	50.5	.6	5.03	.000	.13	Medium	Maladaptive ↓
Perceived Support Meaning in Life	SIPSS	50.7	.4	48.7	.6	1.85	.004	.05	Small	Adaptive ↑
	SIMIL	50.2	.4	49.5	.6	3.02	.000	.09	Medium	Adaptive ↑
Life Satisfaction	SILS	50.5	.4	49.3	.6	3.90	.000	.11	Medium	Adaptive ↑
Perceived Health	SIPH	50.3	.4	49.4	.6	2.53	.000	.07	Medium	Adaptive ↑
Sleep	SISQ	49.7	.4	49.6	.6	3.21	.000	.09	Medium	Adaptive ↑
Anxiety	PHQ.anx	50.3	.4	49.5	.5	6.48	.000	.17	Large	Maladaptive ↑
Depression	PHQ.dep	50.0	.4	49.2	.5	6.19	.000	.16	Large	Maladaptive ↑
Helplessness	SISH	49.9	.4	49.6	.6	4.64	.000	.13	Medium	Maladaptive ↑

S4.3.3 MANCOVA Alcohol Users (693) vs Non-Users (315) (Cont.)

Significant and Non-Significant Covariates

Effect	Wilks' Lambda	F	Hypothesis df	Error df	p	η ²
DrugSEDqu	.962	1.72	22	956	.021	.04
DrugDISSOCqu	.972	1.26	22	956	.189	.03
DrugPSYDqu	.973	1.21	22	956	.234	.03
DRUGOplife	.978	.98	22	956	.482	.02
DRUGStimlife	.971	1.28	22	956	.173	.03
DRUGCanlife	.962	1.73	22	956	.019	.04
DRUGMDMAlife	.970	1.34	22	956	.133	.03
DRUGSedlife	.975	1.11	22	956	.328	.03
DRUGDissoclife	.965	1.56	22	956	.049	.04
CVQUlivealone	.984	.68	22	956	.858	.02
DRUGAlcusual.dichot	.960	1.79	22	956	.014	.04

Alcohol Use vs Measures

* Significant covariates are controlled for in Measures MANCOVA

DV		Used		Never Used		F	p	η ²	Size	Direction
		M	SE	M	SE					
Boredom	SISB	49.6	.3	48.7	.5	9.32	.000	.22	Large	Maladaptive ↑
Pain	SISP	49.4	.4	51.4	.6	2.50	.000	.07	Medium	Adaptive ↓
Perception	SIPF	49.78	.4	49.85	.6	4.29	.000	.12	Medium	Adaptive ↓
Pandemic	SIFF	50.2	.4	49.8	.6	3.21	.000	.09	Medium	Maladaptive ↑
Fear										
Financial Fear										

S4.3.4 MANCOVA Growth Motivation (53) vs Fun/Recreational Motivation (127)

Significant and Non-Significant Covariates							Psychedelic Use vs Measures									
Effect	Wilks' Lambda	F	Hypothesis df	Error df	p	η^2	Growth		Fun							
							DV	M	SE	M	SE	F	p	η^2	Size	Direction
DEMOagetr	.888	.78	22	136	.746	.11										
DEMOsexnum	.663	3.14	22	136	.000	.34	Mindfulness	MAAS6	54.3	1.4	49.4	.9	2.40	.001	.29	Large Adaptive ↑
DEMOeductr	.812	1.44	22	136	.109	.19	Equanimity	EQ	55.4	1.3	50.8	.8	3.08	.000	.34	Large Adaptive ↑
DEMOzipNJ	.922	.53	22	136	.960	.08	Self-Kindness	USKS	51.8	1.6	48.7	.9	1.63	.037	.22	Large Adaptive ↑
DEMOUSA	.857	1.04	22	136	.426	.14	Altruism	CASA	53.4	1.4	51.3	.9	1.47	.080	.20	n.s. Adaptive
DEMOincometr	.860	1.01	22	136	.461	.14	Awe	AWE3	56.9	1.4	51.2	.8	2.30	.001	.28	Large Adaptive ↑
DEMOethnicdishot	.793	1.62	22	136	.051	.21	Elevation Benefit	ELEV	52.6	1.5	49.4	.9	1.78	.018	.23	Large Adaptive ↑
Demoreligdichot	.882	.83	22	136	.684	.12	Reminding	BRS	55.7	1.5	49.8	.9	1.43	.095	.20	n.s. Adaptive
DEMOstudentnum	.842	1.16	22	136	.297	.16	Gratitude	G3	52.4	1.5	47.7	.9	1.96	.006	.25	Large Adaptive ↑
DEMOworknum	.812	1.43	22	136	.110	.19	Forgiveness	TTF	52.2	1.5	49.9	.9	1.45	.088	.20	n.s. Adaptive
DrugOPqu	.925	.51	22	136	.968	.08	Transcendence Perceived	SIST	59.7	1.4	52.8	.9	1.86	.011	.24	Large Adaptive ↑
DrugSTIMqu	.821	1.34	22	136	.155	.18	Support Meaning in	SIPSS	50.2	1.5	48.9	.9	1.15	.298	.16	n.s. Adaptive
DrugCANqu	.830	1.26	22	136	.208	.17	Life Life	SIMIL	52.1	1.6	49.3	1.0	1.57	.050	.21	Large Adaptive ↑
DrugMDMAqu	.840	1.18	22	136	.279	.16	Satisfaction Perceived	SILS	50.7	1.4	48.6	.9	2.64	.000	.31	Large Adaptive ↑
DrugSEDqu	.843	1.15	22	136	.300	.16	Health	SIPH	53.2	1.3	49.6	.8	2.73	.000	.32	Large Adaptive ↑
DrugDISSOCqu	.897	.71	22	136	.820	.10	Sleep	SISQ	53.3	1.4	50.2	.9	1.78	.017	.23	Large Adaptive ↑
DrugALCqu	.901	.68	22	136	.853	.10	Anxiety	PHQ.anx	47.0	1.3	51.1	.8	3.06	.000	.34	Large Adaptive ↓
DRUGoplif	.863	.98	22	136	.490	.14	Depression	PHQ.dep	47.3	1.4	50.9	.9	2.39	.001	.29	Large Adaptive ↓
DRUGStimlif	.799	1.56	22	136	.066	.20	Helplessness	SISH	48.1	1.5	50.8	.9	1.85	.012	.24	Large Adaptive ↓

S4.3.4 MANCOVA Growth Motivation (53) vs Fun/Recreational Motivation (127) (Cont.)

Significant and Non-Significant Covariates							Psychedelic Use vs Measures									
Effect	Wilks' Lambda	F	Hypothesis df	Error df	<i>p</i>	ηp^2	Growth		Fun							
							DV	M	SE	M	SE	<i>F</i>	<i>p</i>	ηp^2	Size	Direction
DRUGCanlife	.896	.72	22	136	.817	.10										
DRUGMDMAlife	.737	2.21	22	136	.003	.26	Boredom	SISB	43.8	1.4	48.5	.8	2.26	.001	.28	Large Adaptive ↓
DRUGSedlife	.847	1.12	22	136	.338	.15	Pain Perception	SISP	48.5	1.5	52.6	.9	1.22	.226	.17	n.s. Adaptive
DRUGDissoclife	.806	1.49	22	136	.088	.19	Pandemic Fear	SIPF	47.6	1.6	51.1	1.0	1.55	.054	.21	n.s. Adaptive
DRUGAlcusual.dichot	.928	.48	22	136	.975	.07	Financial Fear	SIFF	48.2	1.4	50.4	.8	1.61	.041	.22	Large Adaptive ↓
ITEMdichotmovmedlife	.823	1.33	22	136	.163	.18										
TQUcohabitate	.855	1.05	22	136	.411	.15										
Drugpsydmotivegrowth	.788	1.66	22	136	.042	.21										

S4.3.5 MANCOVA Fun/Recreational Motivated Psychedelic Users (132) vs Non-Users (800)

Significant and Non-Significant Covariates

Effect	Wilks' Lambda	F	Hypothesis df	Error df	p	ηp ²	DV	Recreational		Never Used		F	p	ηp ²	Size	Direction	
								M	SE	M	SE						
DEMOagetr	.970	1.27	22	900	.182	.03											
DEMOsexnum	.820	9.00	22	900	.000	.18	Mindfulness	MAAS6	48.4	.9	50.0	.3	5.65	.000	.14	Large	Mal-adaptive ↓
DEMOeductr	.944	2.40	22	900	.000	.06	Equanimity	EQ	49.8	.9	49.7	.3	6.01	.000	.15	Large	Adaptive ↑
DEMOzipNJ	.975	1.06	22	900	.384	.03	Self-Kindness	USKS	50.8	.9	49.7	.4	4.33	.000	.11	Medium	Adaptive ↑
DEMOUSA	.980	.85	22	900	.658	.02	Altruism	CASA	51.3	1.0	49.5	.4	3.19	.000	.09	Medium	Adaptive ↑
DEMOincometr	.960	1.72	22	900	.021	.04	Awe	AWE3	52.0	.9	49.1	.4	2.88	.000	.08	Medium	Adaptive ↑
DEMOethnicdishot	.940	2.59	22	900	.000	.06	Elevation Benefit	ELEV	49.6	1.0	49.9	.4	3.17	.000	.09	Medium	Mal-adaptive ↓
Demoreligdichot	.961	1.64	22	900	.032	.04	Reminding	BRS	51.0	1.0	49.5	.4	2.02	.002	.06	Small	Adaptive ↑
DEMOstudentnum	.904	4.32	22	900	.000	.10	Gratitude	G3	49.6	1.0	49.6	.4	2.46	.000	.07	Medium	Mal-adaptive ↓
DEMOworknum	.940	2.60	22	900	.000	.06	Forgiveness	TTF	50.7	.9	49.7	.3	2.74	.000	.07	Medium	Adaptive ↑
CVQUlivealone	.977	.94	22	900	.537	.02	Self-Transcendence Perceived	SIST	51.9	.9	49.3	.4	3.03	.000	.08	Medium	Adaptive ↑
DRUGOplife	.974	1.07	22	900	.372	.03	Support Meaning in Life	SIPSS	51.5	1.0	49.9	.4	1.93	.003	.05	Small	Adaptive ↑
DRUGStimlife	.972	1.17	22	900	.270	.03	Life	SIMIL	51.8	1.0	49.6	.4	2.76	.000	.08	Medium	Adaptive ↑
DRUGCanlife	.957	1.84	22	900	.011	.04	Satisfaction Perceived	SILS	50.4	1.0	50.0	.4	3.81	.000	.10	Medium	Adaptive ↑
DRUGMDMAlife	.976	1.02	22	900	.440	.02	Health	SIPH	49.0	.9	49.8	.3	2.25	.000	.06	Medium	Mal-adaptive ↓
DRUGSedlife	.977	.96	22	900	.515	.02	Sleep	SISQ	50.2	1.0	50.0	.4	2.69	.000	.07	Medium	Adaptive ↑
DRUGDissoclife	.972	1.18	22	900	.256	.03	Anxiety	PHQ.anx	50.5	1.0	49.7	.4	6.28	.000	.16	Large	Mal-adaptive ↑
DRUGAlcusual.dichot	.960	1.69	22	900	.025	.04	Depression	PHQ.dep	51.1	1.0	49.2	.4	6.12	.000	.15	Large	Mal-adaptive ↑

S4.3.5 MANCOVA Fun/Recreational Motivated Psychedelic Users (132) vs Non-Users (800) (Cont.)

Significant and Non-Significant Covariates

Effect	Wilks' Lambda	F	Hypothesis df	Error df	p	η^2												
							Recreational		Never Used		F	p	η^2	Size	Direction			
DV	M	SE	M	SE														
DrugOPqu	.980	.85	22	900	.665	.02												
DrugSTIMqu	.981	.80	22	900	.726	.02	Helplessness	SISH	50.1	.9	50.4	.3	4.68	.000	.12	Medium	Adaptive Mal-	↓
DrugCANqu	.949	2.21	22	900	.001	.05	Boredom Pain Perception	SISB	50.1	.9	49.9	.3	8.87	.000	.21	Large	adaptive Mal-	↑
DrugMDMAqu	.973	1.13	22	900	.306	.03		SISP	50.1	.9	49.9	.3	2.63	.000	.07	Medium	adaptive Mal-	↑
DrugSEDqu	.961	1.66	22	900	.029	.04	Pandemic Fear	SIPF	50.7	.9	49.8	.4	4.66	.000	.12	Medium	adaptive Mal-	↑
DrugDISSOCqu	.970	1.29	22	900	.170	.03	Financial Fear	SIFF	50.6	.9	50.2	.3	3.38	.000	.09	Medium	adaptive	↑
DrugALCqu	.985	.60	22	900	.923	.02												
ITEMdichotanymedlife	.936	2.81	22	900	.000	.06												
ITEMDrugPsyFunVsNevernum	.963	1.57	22	900	.046	.04												

Supplement 5. Skew and Corrections for all studies

S5.1 Skews and Corrections for Study 1

Construct	Demographics		Self-Transcendence			Lucid Dreaming		Mindfulness		Self-Compassion	Four Sublime Attitudes			FSA.t	SPAN		SPAN		SWLS	LMH	
	Age	Edu	Mysticism	Inclusive Identity	Self-Transcendence	Lucid Dreaming Insight	Lucid Dreaming Control	Mindful Acceptance	Mindful Awareness	Self-Compassion	Loving Kindness	Compassion	Sympathetic Joy	Equanimity	FSA Total	Positive Affect	Negative Affect	Affective Balance	Life Satisfaction	Life-time Meditation Hours	
Variable Name			MYST	IIS	ASTI	LUCi	LUCc	PM.acc	no anx. item	SCStot	FSA.l k	FSA.co m	FSA.sj	FSA.eq	FSA.t	SPAN E3.p	SPAN E3.n	SPAN E3.b	SWLS		
<i>Raw scores</i>	<i>Skew</i>	1.7	.0	-.1	-.2	-.3	.4	.5	-.3	-.1	-.9	-1.2	-1.2	-.6	-.8	-.8	1.0	-.9	-.4	9.4	
	<i>Minimum</i>	18	9	5	3	18	6	4	6	1.0	3	2	4	2	22	3	3	-18	6	0	
	<i>Maximum</i>	75	21	35	21	70	42	28	21	7.0	14	14	14	14	56	21	21	18	35	24000	
	<i>Mean</i>	28.4	15.2	19.9	13.1	48.8	24.7	12.7	16.0	4.2	11.4	11.8	12.4	10.0	45.6	16.2	7.3	8.8	24.0	321.3	
	<i>SD</i>	12.8	1.7	8.0	4.3	10.0	8.8	6.2	5.6	3.2	2.2	2.1	1.9	2.8	6.4	3.8	3.9	6.9	6.5	1,751.0	
<i>Transformed scores</i>	<i>Skew</i>	-	-	-	-	-	SQRT(X)	SQRT(X)	-	-	SQRT(K-X)	LOG(K-X)	LOG(K-X)	SQRT(K-X)	SQRT(K-X)	SQRT(K-X)	LOG10(X)	SQRT(K-X)	SQRT(K-X)	1/(X+C)	
	<i>Minimum</i>			5.0	3.0	18.0	6.0	2.0	2.0	6.0	1.0	-3.5	-1.1	-1.0	-3.6	-5.9	-4.4	0.5	-6.1	-5.6	-1.0
	<i>Maximum</i>			35.0	21.0	70.0	42.0	5.3	5.3	21.0	7.0	-1.0	0.0	0.0	-1.0	-1.0	-1.0	1.3	-1.0	-1.4	0.0
	<i>Mean</i>			19.9	13.1	48.8	24.7	3.4	3.5	16.0	4.2	-1.8	-0.4	-0.3	-2.1	-3.2	-2.3	0.8	-3.0	-3.5	-0.6
	<i>SD</i>			8.04	4.34	9.99	8.80	.90	.80	3.21	1.02	.58	.30	.31	.65	1.00	.81	.23	1.13	.94	.46
	ALPHA	-	-	.94	.81	.84	.87	.86	.85	.77	.86	.90	.90	.93	.90	.82	.90	.83	-	.89	-

S5.2 Skews and Corrections for Study 2

	Age	Educ	Income	MAAS	EQ	G3	TTF	HUM	DGS	MES	HATE	FSSQ	IIS	SIST	SISQ	SIPH	VOL	DUF.alc
Alpha				.87	.88	.93	.67	.57	.84	.87	.89	.81	.74	-	-	-	-	-
Min	18	8	\$0	6	4	3	4	6	4	3	3	3	3	1	1	1	0	0
Max	84	26	\$500,000	42	28	21	28	21	28	21	21	21	21	10	10	10	30	70
Mean	27.1	14.8	\$26,770	24.3	13.6	17.8	15.6	16.2	13.9	6.9	7.3	17.4	14.7	7.3	6.1	6.7	4.8	3.5
SD	12.2	1.9	\$42,840	8.2	6.5	3.4	4.9	3.4	5.8	4.1	4.3	3.7	4.0	2.5	2.3	1.9	6.1	5.4
Skew	2.0	.1	4.0	.1	.5	-1.2	.1	-.2	.3	1.0	1.0	-1.2	-.4	-.8	-.4	-.5	2.2	3.9
						LOG		SQRT				LOG	SQRT	SQRT	SQRT	SQRT		
Trans	1/X	SQRT(X)	SQRT(X+1)	-	SQRT(X)	(K-X)	-	(K-X)	-	LOG(X)	LOG(X)	(K-X)	(K-X)	(K-X)	(K-X)	(K-X)	ASINH(X)	ASINH(X)
Min	-.06	8.00	0.0	6.00	2.00	-1.28	4.00	6.00	4.00	.48	.48	-1.28	-4.36	-3.16	-3.16	-3.16	.00	.00
Max	-.01	26.00	5.7	42.00	5.29	.00	28.00	21.00	28.00	1.32	1.32	.00	-1.00	-1.00	-1.00	-1.00	4.09	4.94
Mean	0.0	14.8	3.0	24.3	3.6	-0.5	15.6	16.2	13.9	0.8	0.8	-0.5	-2.6	-1.8	-2.2	-2.0	1.7	1.3
SD	0.0	1.9	2.0	8.2	0.9	0.4	4.9	3.4	5.8	0.2	0.2	0.4	0.8	0.7	0.5	0.5	1.1	1.2
Skew	1.1	.1	-.7	.1	.0	.0	.1	-.2	.3	.2	.2	.1	.3	-.3	.2	.1	.1	.3
r	.95	1.00	.54	1.00	.99	.93	1.00	1.00	1.00	.97	.97		.98	.99	.99	.99	.86	.86

S5.3 Skews and Corrections for Study 3

Items	1	1	1	1	1	3	6	4	3	5	3	4	3	4	2
	Age	Educ	Income	Lifetime Sitting Meditation	Lifetime Moving Meditation	Self-Kindness Self.Kind	Mindfulness MAAS6	Equanimity EQ	Awe AWE3	Elevation ELEV	Altruism CASA	Benefit Finding BRS	Gratitude G3	Forgiveness TTF	Anxiety PHQ.anx
Min	18	6	\$0	0	0	3	6	4	3	5	3	5	3	4	2
Max	89	28	\$330,000	20000	50000	21	42	28	21	35	21	28	21	28	14
Mean	33.2	15.3	\$31,437	206.0	303.8	11.8	25.8	14.7	15.9	29.4	18.0	22.9	17.4	15.7	8.9
SD	15.5	2.4	\$41,359	1346.5	1972.8	4.2	8.5	6.9	3.8	5.4	3.1	4.3	3.6	5.1	3.6
Skew	1.0	0.7	2.1	10.1	17.5	-1	.0	.3	-6	-1.2	-1.3	-1.0	-1.1	.0	-3
Trans	1/X	1/X	SQRT(X+1)	1/(X+1)	1/(X+1)	-	-	SQRT(X)	SQRT(K-X)	LOG(K-X)	LOG(K-X)	SQRT(K-X)	LOG(K-X)	-	SQRT(K-X)
Min	-0.1	0.8	1.0	-1.0	-1.0	3.0	6.0	2.0	-4.4	-1.5	-1.3	-4.9	-1.3	4.0	-3.6
Max	0.0	1.4	574.5	0.0	0.0	21.0	42.0	5.3	-1.0	.0	.0	-1.0	.0	28.0	-1.0
Mean	0.0	1.2	129.2	-0.6	-0.5	11.8	25.8	3.7	-2.3	-6	-5	-2.3	-5	15.7	-2.3
SD	0.0	0.1	120.4	0.4	0.5	4.2	8.5	.9	.8	.4	.4	.9	.4	5.1	.8
Skew	.3	-.3	.5	.3	-.1	-.1	.0	-.1	.1	.3	.0	-.1	.2	.0	.2
r	.95	.99	.99	.23	.15	1.00	1.00	.99	.98	.90	.93	.98	.92	1.00	.99

Items	2	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
	Depression PHQ.dep	Self-Transcendence SI.transc	Meaning SI.Meaning	Life Satisfaction SI.Life.Sat	Helplessness SI.Helpless	Boredom SI.Bored	Pain SI.Pain	Sleep SI.Sleep	Perceived Health SI.Perc.health	Perceived Support SI.Support	Financial Fear QU.financial	Fear of Virus QU.fear	Blame Foreign QU.foreign
Min	2	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Max	14	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10
Mean	7.1	7.5	7.5	7.3	4.5	6.3	3.8	5.4	6.7	8.1	6.1	6.6	3.5
SD	3.7	2.3	2.1	2.0	3.0	3.2	2.5	2.5	2.2	2.0	3.1	2.4	3.0
Skew	.3	-.8	-.9	-.7	.4	-.3	.6	.0	-.5	-1.2	-.2	-.5	.9
Trans	SQRT(X)	LOG(K-X)	SQRT(K-X)	SQRT(K-X)	SQRT(X)	SQRT(K-X)	LOG(X)	-	SQRT(K-X)	LOG(K-X)	SQRT(K-X)	SQRT(K-X)	1/X
Min	1.4	-1.0	-3.2	-3.2	1.0	-3.2	.0	1.0	-3.2	-1.0	-3.2	-3.2	-1.0
Max	3.7	.0	-1.0	-1.0	3.2	-1.0	1.0	10.0	-1.0	.0	-1.0	-1.0	-1
Mean	2.6	-.4	-1.8	-1.9	2.0	-2.0	.5	5.4	-2.0	-.4	-2.1	-2.0	-.6
SD	.7	.3	.6	.5	.7	.8	.3	2.5	.6	.3	.7	.6	.4
Skew	-.1	.2	-.3	-.1	.1	.0	-.2	.0	.1	-.1	.1	.1	-.1
r	.99	.94	.99	.99	.99	.99	.95	1.00	.99	.95	.99	.99	.87

Supplement 6. Mediation analysis information

FULL MEDIATION			
		M	
		Self-Transc	
X	a	b	Y
PSYD use	c		CSI
	c'		Combined Strength Index
	Path	Beta	p
	a	.23	.000
	b	.46	.000
	c	.09	.007
	c'	-.02	n.s.

Judd, C.M. & Kenny, D.A. (1981). Process Analysis: Estimating mediation in treatment evaluations. *Evaluation Review*, 5(5), 602-619.

"In the Step 4 model, some form of mediation is supported if the effect of M (path b) remains significant after controlling for X. If X is no longer significant when M is controlled, the finding supports full mediation. If X is still significant (i.e., both X and M both significantly predict Y), the finding supports partial mediation." http://web.pdx.edu/~newsomj/semclass/ho_mediation.pdf

Conflict of interest statement

The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

Data Accessibility

Data files are available on request from the corresponding author. Validation data for CASA and Four Sublime Attitudes are available as well.

Authors

Trey Brasher
Stockton University
treybrasher@gmail.com

David Rosen
Stockton University

Marcello Spinella
Stockton University

Publishing Timeline

Received 12 May 2022
Revised version received 5 January 2023
Accepted 6 January 2023
Published 28 February 2023